School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).

This chart shows the total general purpose revenue Credo High SchoolCharter expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The total revenue projected for Credo High SchoolCharter is $5,121,561.00, of which $4,081,533.00 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), $117,740.00 is other state funds, $823,348.00 is local funds, and $98,940.00 is federal funds. Of the $4,081,533.00 in LCFF Funds, $259,873.00 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).
LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.

Credo High SchoolCharter plans to spend $5,677,670.07 for the 2021 – 22 school year. Of that amount, $259,873.00 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and $5,417,797.07 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:

[Respond to prompt here.]

Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2021 – 22 School Year

In 2021 – 22, Credo High SchoolCharter is projecting it will receive $259,873.00 based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. Credo High SchoolCharter must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. Credo High SchoolCharter plans to spend $259,873.00 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.
This chart compares what Credo High SchoolCharter budgeted last year in the Learning Continuity Plan for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what Credo High SchoolCharter estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

In 2020 – 21, Credo High SchoolCharter's Learning Continuity Plan budgeted $276,505.00 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. Credo High SchoolCharter actually spent $276,505.00 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2020 – 21.
## Supplement to the Annual Update

### Prompt 1:
A description of how and when the LEA engaged, or plans to engage, its educational partners on the use of funds provided through the Budget Act of 2021 that were not included in the 2021–22 Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP).

[Respond here]

Input from various groups was gathered in a variety of ways. Forums included:

- Schoolwide parent and staff surveys provided general feedback about the school/work experience, which informed our needs analysis. Results were shared and discussed at both staff and board meetings.
- Admin/Finance committee meetings were held on May 18 and 25, June 1, 8, and 15.
- A public hearing was held June 8.

### Prompt 2:
A description of how the LEA used, or plans to use, the additional concentration grant add-on funding it received to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students on school campuses with an enrollment of students who are low-income, English learners, and/or foster youth that is greater than 55 percent.

[Respond here]

N/A. Credo does not receive Concentration Grant funding.

### Prompt 3:
A description of how and when the LEA engaged its educational partners on the use of one-time federal funds received that are intended to support recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic and the impacts of distance learning on pupils.

[Respond here]

The surveys, committee meetings and public hearing listed in Prompt 1 afforded us information that was also used in the refinement of the Expenditure Plans developed for federal stimulus funds, e.g. the ESSER III Expenditure Plan. The use of one time funds receives special attention from administration and board to ensure 1) they are being spent in a manner consistent with the allowable uses defined in legislation, 2) they are spent in a manner that reflects the values and priorities of our school community, and 3) the expenditure (if not a 1x expense) is sustainable.
Prompt 4:

A description of how the LEA is implementing the federal American Rescue Plan Act and federal Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief expenditure plan, and the successes and challenges experienced during implementation.

[Respond here]

ESSER III has afforded us the opportunity to make several upgrades to our learning environment in light of the COVID pandemic. This year we are on track to spend nearly one-third of our allocation.

**Remote learning preparedness** - purchased 1 of 2 tranches of student computers to be put into use this fall, thus increasing our inventory of student laptops by 20%. Three Chromebook Carts were also purchased to protect the investment.

**Mental health** - this year we doubled the social-emotional support services offered to students and our counselors still had full schedules. These funds augmented and will extend funding from the ELO grant to expand out mental health services.

**Expanded usable outdoor spaces** - ESSER funds were used to create or increase outdoor teaching and learning spaces for orchestra, electric vehicles, blacksmithing and farming. We also increased our outdoor seating capacity for lunch by 60%.

**Challenges** to utilizing these funds include increased shipping costs and increased materials costs for manufacturers. Even local suppliers frequently rely on resources from outside the area, so supply chain challenges were difficult to avoid.

Prompt 5:

A description of how the LEA is using its fiscal resources received for the 2021-22 school year in a manner that is consistent with the applicable plans and is aligned with the LEA’s 2021-22 LCAP and Annual Update.

[Respond here]

Summarize how the LEA is using its fiscal resources received for the 2021-22 school year to implement the requirements of applicable plans in a manner that is aligned with the LEA’s 2021-22 LCAP. The ESSER III Expenditure Plan includes an alignment to other school plans in the Actions and Expenditures to Address Student Needs section (include link to plan and page number, if applicable). The Safe Return to In-Person Instruction and Continuity of Services Plan includes health and safety procedures and academic, social-emotional, and health services which may be aligned to the 2020-21 LCAP (include link to plan and page number, if applicable).

The LCAP identifies our primary focus areas and goals (relevant and rigorous Waldorf curriculum, safe learning environment, highly qualified teachers). Working from these priorities, restricted dollars are allocated in alignment with their allowable uses. For example, while our General Fund supported the expansion of our Visual & Performing Arts faculty, ESSER III funds allowed the expansion of learning space for our orchestra program. The Educator Effectiveness grant will fund Waldorf training for teachers, which is explicitly listed as Action 1.3 in the LCAP. ESSER II dollars funded an end-of-year stipend for teachers, directly addressing Action 3.1 in the LCAP.
Because the LCAP goals drive all of our planning, there are often overlaps in how funds are spent. Furthermore, the increased flexibility that has come with ESSER III has allowed us to use those funds in ways that complement the Expenditure Plans for stimulus funding that was received earlier. For example, ESSER III expands and extends the commitment we made to student mental health with the ELO grant by an additional three years.
Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name</th>
<th>Contact Name and Title</th>
<th>Email and Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Credo High School</td>
<td>Andrea Akmenkalns, Executive Director</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Andrea.Akmenkalns@Credohigh.org">Andrea.Akmenkalns@Credohigh.org</a> (707)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>664-0600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plan Summary 2022/23

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

Credo High School is a Public Waldorf, college-prep, charter school in Rohnert Park, California, serving students in ninth through twelfth grades. The school opened with an initial ninth grade on August 22, 2011 and added a grade each year through 2014-15. Each grade level is built around cohorts of 28 - 32 students. In the 2021/22 school year there were four twelfth grade cohorts, three eleventh grade cohorts, four tenth grade cohorts, and four ninth grade cohorts for a total of 400 students. In 2022/23 we have 440 students and over the next four years we plan to grow to 600 students. Credo offers a rigorous academic curriculum that exceeds University of California “a-g” admission requirements and includes a full complement of enrichment subjects, including Spanish, Mandarin, visual arts (painting, drawing, printmaking, sculpture), practical arts (blacksmithing, woodworking), theater, music (choir, American Music, orchestra and world percussion), movement, dance, and physical education, biodynamic gardening and farming, and a social/emotional learning curriculum. Credo received a six-year accreditation term by WASC in 2017.
Credo is the world’s first One Planet School and has been awarded Planetary Leader status by the international nonprofit, BioRegional, Inc. and the parent organization for One Planet Communities. Read Credo’s One Planet Action Plan here: https://www.bioregional.com/credo-high-school/.

Credo’s Charter was renewed by Cotati Rohnert Park Unified School District in the fall of 2020 for a third five-year term ending in June 2026. Credo has also been granted full member status in the Alliance for Public Waldorf Education. In the 2020-21 school year, Credo received the California Distinguished School Award.

**Reflections: Successes**

A description of successes and/or progress based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

In May of 2020-21, Credo was recognized as a California Distinguished School, based entirely on demonstrated progress on the 2018-19 CA dashboard performance data. Specifically, Credo Demonstrated academic progress for all students and for specific groups, student engagement and school climate. In 2021/22 Credo returned to in-person instruction, navigated on-going challenges with the pandemic and achieved strong results. The Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate for 2021 was 94.3%. In the class of 2021 83.2% of students graduated U.C. a-g ready.

**Reflections: Identified Need**

A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Rebuilding school culture and climate after the turbulent years of the COVID-pandemic; re-establishing full site-based instruction and reorienting students to campus life within the context of increased enrollment in the ninth grade. Re-engaging students with a full social-emotional program which includes cohort advisory, personal sustainability classes, counseling services, as well as providing holistic curricular opportunities, e.g., art and music classes. Performance gaps exist between All Students and Hispanic Students. While 83.2% of All Students graduated UC a-g ready, only 64.7% of graduating Hispanic Students were UC a-g ready. Similarly, 79.4% of Socio-economically Disadvantaged Students met the readiness measure. Only 11% of Special Ed Students met the readiness measure.
LCAP Highlights

A brief overview of the LCAP, including any key features that should be emphasized.

Goals include enhanced student engagement through a rigorous Waldorf, college-prep, curriculum with increased art and music classes, maintain a healthy school climate through an active student life program and social-emotional programs, and attract and retain highly qualified teachers. In the aftermath of the pandemic, the school will focus on faculty collaboration and shared decision making in key areas.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

n/a

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

n/a

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

n/a
Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners and how this engagement was considered before finalizing the LCAP.

In March of 2022 the California Healthy Kids Survey was administered to all students and in May of 2022 parent surveys as well as faculty/staff surveys were administered. The Credo Board discussed preliminary results of these surveys at their May public meeting. Credo Faculty reviewed the survey results during the final faculty meeting of the school year.

A summary of the feedback provided by specific educational partners.

The impacts of the pandemic on individuals and groups (faculty, students, admin, staff, and families) have been a significant challenge. We are still discovering the ways in which the ordeal is impacting the school community. Feedback was solicited from three primary stakeholder groups: Students, Faculty/Staff, and Parents.

According to the California Healthy Kids Survey areas of strength include: relatively high sense of school connectedness, caring adult relationships, high expectations, and perceived school safety. Areas for growth include: Academic Motivation, Meaningful Participation, and Mental Health as measured by CHKS variable “Experienced chronic sadness/hopelessness.”

Among Faculty, 65% of respondents agree or strongly agree that Credo is an inviting place for staff to work. Among staff and administration, that number shrinks to 38%. During a year-end faculty meeting, areas cited for improvement include: Increased shared decision making, Increased shared Responsibility for School Improvement, Increased Collaboration and Connection, and Increased clarity about Rules/Policies for students as well as staff.

Among parents, a significant finding from the parent survey is that only 52% of parents agreed or strongly agreed that Credo provides quality counseling services to students. Thirty one percent were not aware of the services offered and 10% either disagreed or strongly disagreed that Credo provides quality services for counseling. Eighty eight percent of respondents agree or strongly agree that Credo promotes academic success for all students. The pandemic has significantly impacted parent engagement on campus and that is recognized by parents and students alike. Another area for improvement is teacher communication about expectations and learning outcomes in the classroom. General parent education in the area of adolescent development and Credo’s Waldorf program are areas for focus.
A description of the aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by specific input from educational partners.

The results of the parent survey indicated that there is a need for increased parent communication and engagement. Parents are eager to get to know teachers and staff, they benefit from parent education, and want to have more regular updates about student progress.

The faculty are eager to collaborate and engage in more shared decision making in the school. Credo will be engaging in its six-year WASC self-study process during the 2022/23 school year with a site visit in April of 2023. The self-study process will serve as a vehicle for a first layer of faculty collaboration.
## Goals and Actions

### Goal 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide a relevant and rigorous Public Waldorf and College Prep curriculum based on Common Core State Standards and the learning aims of Waldorf schools.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

As a “school of choice”, we offer a college-prep focused education aligned with the core principles of Public Waldorf Education. Credo pedagogy aligns with a view of human development according to Waldorf education with the best practices of public education.

Reference: [Core Principles of Public Waldorf Education](#)

This goal meets state priorities 1 (Basic), 2 (State Standards), 4 (Pupil Achievement), 5 (Pupil Engagement), and 6 (School Climate). This is a schoolwide goal, which is applicable to the same extent to all subgroups at Credo High School.

### Measuring and Reporting Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline 2019/20</th>
<th>Year 1 Outcome 2020/21</th>
<th>Year 2 Outcome 2021/22</th>
<th>Year 3 Outcome</th>
<th>Desired Outcome for 2023–24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graduates Meeting UC a-g requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Overall</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>78.7%</td>
<td>82.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Hispanic</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>64.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Low SES</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>79.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAASPP English % Met or Exceeded</td>
<td>Spring 2021 Results</td>
<td>86.67%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAASPP Math % Met or Exceeded</td>
<td>Spring 2021 Results</td>
<td>67.27%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action #</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Total Funds</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>CAASPP Results</td>
<td>Continue to improve on CAASPP results especially for subgroups. Department time for English and Math will build in regular focus time to review results and target instruction for subgroups.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Visual and Performing Arts</td>
<td>Increase instructional time allocated to 9th and 10th grade visual and performing arts from 100 minutes per week (in 2019/20) to 225 minutes per week (in 2021/22)</td>
<td>Planned: $159,550 Actual: $257,739</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Provide Waldorf inspired coaching and mentoring for faculty</td>
<td>Designated staff will provide professional development opportunities during regular faculty meetings, staff development days, and a targeted individual basis.</td>
<td>Planned: $15,300 Actual: $0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Weekly Faculty Meetings</td>
<td>Include pedagogical best practices as part of weekly staff meetings</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Professional Learning Community</td>
<td>Provide regular opportunities for faculty to collaborate in relevant teams.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Goal Analysis for 2021/22

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

Due to COVID impacts Actions 1.3 and 1.5 did not get started in the 2021/22 school year. The CAASPP test was administered on a voluntary basis in 2020/21 and to most juniors in 2021/22.

Visual and Performing Arts time was more than doubled shifting from an average of 100 minutes per week to 225 minutes per week. By increasing the amount of time focused on the arts, we aim to provide substantial time during the week for creative and relaxing activities to balance the demands of core academic instruction.

The weekly bell schedule was adjusted to allow for block scheduling benefitting all courses. This has streamlined the master scheduling process and
Weekly faculty meetings frequently leaned towards business/practical issues as we integrated a number of new teachers and navigated the pandemic. Meetings and collaboration were more on a triage level. Regular rhythms were not well established and there was not a consistent approach to faculty collaboration. These goals will be carried forward into 2022/23.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Greater than anticipated student demand coupled with increases in our teacher salary schedule resulted in a near doubling of the cost of the VAPA expansion.

An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

Action 1.1 was implemented and 2021/22 results will be available in July.  
Action 1.2 was implemented and students, parents, and teachers celebrated the impact on students’ holistic experience. Students have responded positively to the increased art time. Music classes have become more impacted and need additional staffing to meet the demands of the program.  
Action 1.4 was implemented sporadically. Going into 2022/23, an outline of meeting topics will be planned and implemented.  
Action 1.5 The master schedule limits the available time for departments to meet effectively. The school does not have a minimum day and there is an increasing number of teachers asking for a minimum day each week for meeting and collaboration. There are nine professional development days built into the calendar for 2022/23 to provide time for meeting and collaboration.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

For Action 1.3, the goal was changed from “Implementing a second cycle of Waldorf Teacher Training” to “Proving Waldorf-Inspired coaching and mentoring for faculty”. Given the the number of competing needs for the coming year, it is not practical to start a formal training program. Resources will be allocated to provide targeted training and coaching. Four teacher leaders will receive stipends for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOAL 2</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal #</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Provide a safe and secure environment for optimal student learning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

We recognize that for optimal learning to take place, all stakeholders including students, parents, faculty and staff must feel safe and secure, both physically and emotionally, on campus. This includes maintaining facilities in good repair and well-stocked classrooms with appropriate educational resources and training.

**Measuring and Reporting Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Year 1 Outcome</th>
<th>Year 2 Outcome</th>
<th>Year 3 Outcome</th>
<th>Desired Outcome for 2023–24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California Healthy Kids Survey</td>
<td>2019/20 School Connectedness - 67%</td>
<td>2021/22 School Connectedness - 68%</td>
<td>[Insert outcome here]</td>
<td>[Insert outcome here]</td>
<td>[Respond here]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Healthy Kids Survey</td>
<td>2019/20 Academic Motivation - 69%</td>
<td>2021/22 Academic Motivation - 62%</td>
<td>[Insert outcome here]</td>
<td>[Insert outcome here]</td>
<td>[Respond here]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Healthy Kids Survey</td>
<td>2019/20 Perceived School Safety 79%</td>
<td>2021/22 Perceived School Safety 80%</td>
<td>[Insert outcome here]</td>
<td>[Insert outcome here]</td>
<td>[Respond here]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Parent Survey</td>
<td>2021/22 88% of Parents responding to the survey Agree or Strongly Agree that Credo “promotes academic success for all students.”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Year 1 Outcome</td>
<td>Year 2 Outcome</td>
<td>Year 3 Outcome</td>
<td>Desired Outcome for 2023–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Parent Survey</td>
<td>2021/22</td>
<td>88% of Parents responding to the survey Agree or Strongly Agree that Credo “is a supportive and inviting place for students to learn.”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Actions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action #</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Total Funds</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Social/Emotional Programs</td>
<td>Implement and maintain a robust Personal Sustainability and Cohort Advisory program</td>
<td>Planned: $83,420 Actual: $59,220</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Adventure Learning</td>
<td>Implement a robust Adventure Learning program</td>
<td>Planned: $56,010 Actual: $29,851</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Counseling</td>
<td>Maintain mental health services for students by providing supervision for interns and expanding community partnerships including wider communication about the services provided.</td>
<td>Planned: $13,984 Actual: $17,027</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Parent Education/Community Building</td>
<td>Resume community building events including music concerts, field trips and parent education events.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action #</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Open House</td>
<td>Establish a Fall Open House for families to visit the school and meet teachers.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goal Analysis for 2021/22**

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

Due to COVID restrictions, Actions 2 was partially implemented. Ninth and Tenth Grade students participated in day-long field trips to the Alliance Redwoods for community building. Twelfth grade students will spend a week in May on a senior retreat and solo experience. Eleventh grade students will engage in service experiences in May. Action 3: The counseling budget was doubled to provide paid internships for MFT interns and appropriate supervision for the staff. Action 4 was partially implemented. Winter and Spring concerts took place but parent education events did not. Due to Covid Concerns Action 5 was not implemented at all.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Our Adventure Learning budget was nearly halved as a result of restrictions from COVID.

An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

Action 1 provided opportunities for community building among students as well as weekly meetings to discuss grade appropriate topics. Particularly in light of returning to school from distance learning, the weekly meetings provided a cohesive experience for students. Similarly, Action 2 created opportunities for students to spend time together in nature outside of the regular classroom. Students, parents and staff celebrate the program and the overall beneficial impact of running it.

Action 3 allowed Credo to expand it counseling program offering approximately 45 hours per week of counseling to students on an as needed basis. Students were selected based on staff referrals and student self-referrals. Participating among students increased over the course of the year.

Action 4 - Live music concerts resumed in the spring of 2022. The music department hosted three evenings of orchestra, choir, world percussion and American Music concerts which allowed students to perform and parents to engage in the school community.
A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

In the 2022/23 school year, Credo will switch from the California Healthy Kids Survey to the Youth Truth Survey which is more widely used in Sonoma County.

**Goal 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal #</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Attract and retain highly qualified teachers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Much national attention has been focused on the high burnout rates among educators. Increased pressures related to the pandemic including meeting the needs of distance learning and hybrid instruction, responding to increased social/emotional needs of students, an increasingly politicized climate, as well as relatively low pay and respect as compared to other professions with similar education requirements is driving more and more educators to leave the profession. In Sonoma County, we have the added burden of high cost of living and risk of wildfires due to climate change.

As a Public Waldorf Charter School, Credo High School relies on teachers with a depth of knowledge of Waldorf Education as well as teachers willing to learn about Waldorf Education. In terms of instructional time, this training is equivalent to a Master’s Program. While the expectations of a Credo teacher are higher than traditional public schools, Credo’s salary schedule lags behind district and county counterparts. In order to remain competitive in retaining and hiring excellent, credentialed teachers, we need to increase salaries and benefits. Credo must also continue working towards aligning with the requirements of AB1505 which requires all teachers to have appropriate credentials for their courses. This goal meets state priority number 1.
# Measuring and Reporting Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline 2020/21</th>
<th>Year 1 Outcome 2021/22</th>
<th>Year 2 Outcome 2022/23</th>
<th>Year 3 Outcome</th>
<th>Desired Outcome for 2023–24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Credo Salary:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Starting Salary</td>
<td>a. $44,000</td>
<td>a. $47,000 (6.8%)</td>
<td>a. $50,290 (7%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Year 10</td>
<td>b. $50,300</td>
<td>b. $58,000 (15.3%)</td>
<td>b. $61,480 (6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Year 20 salary steps.</td>
<td>c. $57,300</td>
<td>c. $68,500 (19.5%)</td>
<td>c. $71,925 (5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage Difference in Credo Salary Schedule vs. authorizing district Cotati Rohnert Park Unified School District</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Starting Salary</td>
<td>a. -7.5%</td>
<td>a. -01.0%</td>
<td>a. -4.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Year 10</td>
<td>b. -19.7%</td>
<td>b. -03.0%</td>
<td>b. -9.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Year 20</td>
<td>c. -36.4%</td>
<td>c. -14.0%</td>
<td>c. -21.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALSAAS Misassignments</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Teachers who have completed Waldorf teacher Training.</td>
<td>a. 13</td>
<td>a. 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Teachers enrolled in Waldorf Teacher Training</td>
<td>b. 7</td>
<td>b. 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Baseline 2020/21</td>
<td>Year 1 Outcome 2021/22</td>
<td>Year 2 Outcome 2022/23</td>
<td>Year 3 Outcome</td>
<td>Desired Outcome for 2023–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Teachers receiving leadership stipends</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Actions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action #</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Total Funds</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Increase Salaries</td>
<td>Increase salaries to be more competitive with local district and region in attracting and retaining experienced teachers.</td>
<td>Planned: $317,950 Actual: $190,600</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Credentials</td>
<td>Increase percentage of teaching assignment to those holding relevant credentials.</td>
<td>Planned: $0 Actual: $24,500</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Mentoring</td>
<td>Provide induction and on-site mentoring experiences for new teachers.</td>
<td>Planned: $2,500 Actual: $0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Leadership Stipends</td>
<td>Incentivize teacher leadership by providing stipends for approved projects and programs.</td>
<td>Planned: $5,600 Actual: $0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goal Analysis for [2021/22]**

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.
Because a large portion of the year was not in-person, the *planned* mentoring did not take place.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Salary scale restructuring was less expensive than anticipated. Significant improvements were made to the top of the salary schedule. There was no budget for supporting credentials, but the actual cost (paid to the North Coast School of Education, through SCOE) was $24,500.

An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

The salary increases were essential to attracting and retaining teachers. More work is needed in this area as salaries to continue to lag behind neighboring districts.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

The Leadership Stipends were not implemented but will be in the 2022/23 school year. Four teacher leaders have been identified to provide leadership in 1) Waldorf Education, 2) Project Based Learning, 3) CTE Program Development, and 4) WASC Self-Study Coordination.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year’s actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year’s actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.
Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students for 2021-22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants</th>
<th>Projected Additional LCFF Concentration Grant (15 percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$306,788</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year</th>
<th>LCFF Carryover — Percentage</th>
<th>LCFF Carryover — Dollar</th>
<th>Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.72%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>6.72%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

**Required Descriptions**

For each action being provided to an entire school, or across the entire school district or county office of education (COE), an explanation of (1) how the needs of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students were considered first, and (2) how these actions are effective in meeting the goals for these students.

Credo High now provides a free, on-site breakfast and lunch program for any student who desires to use it.

A description of how services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students are being increased or improved by the percentage required.

The California Department of Education has identified the implementation of an effective Multi-Tiered System of Support as instrumental in the academic, behavioral, and social success of all students. Credo High School's *Directed Studies* program is principally directed towards and is effective in meeting the school’s goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and local priority areas addressed by the school. These services are the most effective use of the funds to meet the goals for all students, and in particular our unduplicated pupils. “California’s MTSS focuses on aligning initiatives and resources within an educational organization to address the needs of all students.

Credo High School's Core Values, Mission Statement and Directed Studies program are provided to the entire school. Specific services for low-income students, foster youth, and English learners are action based.
Low Income student actions will include:
Contact with parents/students to determine if they have special or unmet needs for accessing technology needs, including provision of Wi-Fi hotspots on a case-by-case basis. Coordination with parents/students & schools as necessary for optional learning delivery of assignment materials and provision of necessary school supplies. Provision of community resource information for parents/students, such as shelter, housing, food, clothing, health, COVID resources, etc. and refer parents/students to appropriate community agencies and/or district departments/services when educational, health, or welfare needs are identified. Coordination and communication with shelters to engage students.

Supports specific to Foster Youth will include: Case management to support all eligible foster youth. Weekly and/or bi-weekly check-ins with students and foster parents via phone, zoom, and e-mail. Monitoring of attendance/engagement and communication with teachers and administrators when needed. Referrals to both district and community agencies for additional support/resources as needed. Collaboration with Student Hearing and Placement and Special Education agencies so that any new youth receive appropriate services in a timely way.

Supports specific to English Learners will include: Students will receive both integrated and designated English Language Development (ELD). For Designated ELD, English Learners will receive regular instruction targeted at their proficiency level and based on the California ELD standards. Certificated teachers with a Bilingual credential will supervise instruction of ELD students. Teachers will receive professional development on ELD instruction within the Professional Development on Universal Design for Learning (UDL).

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

N/A. Credo does not meet the threshold to receive concentration grant funding.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students</th>
<th>Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less</th>
<th>Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students</td>
<td>5:400 or 1:80</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students</td>
<td>34:400 or 1:12</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2021-22 Annual Update Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last Year's Goal #</th>
<th>Last Year's Action #</th>
<th>Prior Action/Service Title</th>
<th>Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?</th>
<th>Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)</th>
<th>Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CAASPP Results</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Visual and Performing Arts</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$ 159,550</td>
<td>$ 257,739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Start a Second Cohort of Waldorf Teacher Training</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$ 15,300</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Social/Emotional Programs</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$ 83,420</td>
<td>$ 59,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Adventure Learning</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$ 56,010</td>
<td>$ 29,851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Counseling</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$ 13,984</td>
<td>$ 17,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Increase salaries</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$ 317,950</td>
<td>$ 190,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mentoring</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$ 2,500</td>
<td>$ 24,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Leadership stipends</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$ 5,600</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Totals:

- **Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds):** $654,314.00
- **Total Estimated Actual Expenditures (Total Funds):** $578,937.00
### 2021-22 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last Year's Goal #</th>
<th>Last Year's Action #</th>
<th>Prior Action/Service Title</th>
<th>Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?</th>
<th>Last Year’s Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)</th>
<th>Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)</th>
<th>Planned Percentage of Improved Services</th>
<th>Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CAASPP Results</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Visual and Performing Arts</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$ 159,550</td>
<td>$ 257,739.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Start a Second Cohort of Waldorf Teacher Training</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Social/Emotional Programs</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$ 83,420</td>
<td>$ 59,220.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Adventure Learning</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$ 56,010</td>
<td>$ 29,851.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Counseling</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>$ 10,514</td>
<td>$ 17,027.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Increase salaries</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mentoring</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Leadership stipends</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2021-22 LCFF Carryover Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)</th>
<th>6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants</th>
<th>LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)</th>
<th>10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)</th>
<th>7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)</th>
<th>8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)</th>
<th>11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)</th>
<th>12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)</th>
<th>13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 3,805,770</td>
<td>$ 247,147</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>6.49%</td>
<td>$ 363,837</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>9.56%</td>
<td>$0.00 - No Carryover</td>
<td>0.00% - No Carryover</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning (California Education Code [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.

- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.

- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because aspects of the LCAP template require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:
  
  o Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).
Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).

Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (EC Section 52064[b][7]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA’s final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which should: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in EC sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity’s budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2021–22, 2022–23, and 2023–24 school years reflects statutory changes made through Assembly Bill 1840 (Committee on Budget), Chapter 243, Statutes of 2018. These statutory changes enhance transparency regarding expenditures on actions included in the LCAP, including actions that contribute to meeting the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, and to streamline the information presented within the LCAP to make adopted LCAPs more accessible for educational partners and the public.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA’s diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions that the LEA believes, based on input gathered from educational partners, research, and experience, will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.
These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP, but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP itself. Additionally, information is included at the beginning of each section emphasizing the purpose that each section serves.

**Plan Summary**

**Purpose**

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to provide a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included in the subsequent sections of the LCAP.

**Requirements and Instructions**

**General Information** – Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA. For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, or employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information as an LEA wishes to include can enable a reader to more fully understand an LEA's LCAP.

**Reflections: Successes** – Based on a review of performance on the state indicators and local performance indicators included in the Dashboard, progress toward LCAP goals, local self-assessment tools, input from educational partners, and any other information, what progress is the LEA most proud of and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success? This may include identifying specific examples of how past increases or improvements in services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students have led to improved performance for these students.

**Reflections: Identified Need** – Referring to the Dashboard, identify: (a) any state indicator for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category or any local indicator where the LEA received a “Not Met” or “Not Met for Two or More Years” rating AND (b) any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the “all student” performance. What steps is the LEA planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps? An LEA that is required to include a goal to address one or more consistently low-performing student groups or low-performing schools must identify that it is required to include this goal and must also identify the applicable student group(s) and/or school(s). Other needs may be identified using locally collected data including data collected to inform the self-reflection tools and reporting local indicators on the Dashboard.

**LCAP Highlights** – Identify and briefly summarize the key features of this year’s LCAP.

**Comprehensive Support and Improvement** – An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:
- **Schools Identified**: Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

- **Support for Identified Schools**: Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

- **Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness**: Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

**Engaging Educational Partners**

**Purpose**

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Statute and regulations specify the educational partners that school districts and COEs must consult when developing the LCAP: teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units of the LEA, parents, and students. Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the Parent Advisory Committee and, if applicable, to its English Learner Parent Advisory Committee. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Statute requires charter schools to consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in developing the LCAP. The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals and actions.

Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the following web page of the CDE’s website: [https://www.cde.ca.gov/re/lc/](https://www.cde.ca.gov/re/lc/).
Local Control and Accountability Plan:
For county offices of education and school districts only, verify the LEA:

a) Presented the local control and accountability plan to the parent advisory committee in accordance with Education Code section 52062(a)(1) or 52068(a)(1), as appropriate.

b) If applicable, presented the local control and accountability plan to the English learner parent advisory committee, in accordance with Education Code section 52062(a)(2) or 52068(a)(2), as appropriate.

c) Notified members of the public of the opportunity to submit comments regarding specific actions and expenditures proposed to be included in the local control and accountability plan in accordance with Education Code section 52062(a)(3) or 52068(a)(3), as appropriate.

d) Held at least one public hearing in accordance with Education Code section 52062(b)(1) or 52068(b)(1), as appropriate.

e) Adopted the local control and accountability plan in a public meeting in accordance with Education Code section 52062(b)(2) or 52068(b)(2), as appropriate.

Prompt 1: “A summary of the process used to engage educational partners and how this engagement was considered before finalizing the LCAP.”

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve educational partners in the development of the LCAP, including, at a minimum, describing how the LEA met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners as applicable to the type of LEA. A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA’s philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.

Prompt 2: “A summary of the feedback provided by specific educational partners.”

Describe and summarize the feedback provided by specific educational partners. A sufficient response to this prompt will indicate ideas, trends, or inputs that emerged from an analysis of the feedback received from educational partners.
Prompt 3: “A description of the aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by specific input from educational partners.”

A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. The response must describe aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback described in response to Prompt 2. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP. For the purposes of this prompt, “aspects” of an LCAP that may have been influenced by educational partner input can include, but are not necessarily limited to:

- Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
- Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
- Determination of the desired outcome on one or more metrics
- Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
- Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
- Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
- Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
- Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
- Determination of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
- Determination of material differences in expenditures
- Determination of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
- Determination of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal should be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.
Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs should consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- **Focus Goal:** A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.

- **Broad Goal:** A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.

- **Maintenance of Progress Goal:** A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics.

**Focus Goal(s)**

**Goal Description:** The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound. An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach. The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

**Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal:** Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal. An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data. LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

**Broad Goal**

**Goal Description:** Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal. The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal. The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner. A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.
**Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal:** Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

**Maintenance of Progress Goal**

**Goal Description:** Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP. Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP. The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

**Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal:** Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

**Required Goals**

In general, LEAs have flexibility in determining what goals to include in the LCAP and what those goals will address; however, beginning with the development of the 2022–23 LCAP, LEAs that meet certain criteria are required to include a specific goal in their LCAP.

**Consistently low-performing student group(s) criteria:** An LEA is eligible for Differentiated Assistance for three or more consecutive years based on the performance of the same student group or groups in the Dashboard. A list of the LEAs required to include a goal in the LCAP based on student group performance, and the student group(s) that lead to identification, may be found on the CDE’s Local Control Funding Formula web page at [https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/](https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/).

- **Consistently low-performing student group(s) goal requirement:** An LEA meeting the consistently low-performing student group(s) criteria must include a goal in its LCAP focused on improving the performance of the student group or groups that led to the LEA's eligibility for Differentiated Assistance. This goal must include metrics, outcomes, actions, and expenditures specific to addressing the needs of, and improving outcomes for, this student group or groups. An LEA required to address multiple student groups is not required to have a goal to address each student group; however, each student group must be specifically addressed in the goal. This requirement may not be met by combining this required goal with another goal.

- **Goal Description:** Describe the outcomes the LEA plans to achieve to address the needs of, and improve outcomes for, the student group or groups that led to the LEA’s eligibility for Differentiated Assistance.

- **Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal:** Explain why the LEA is required to develop this goal, including identifying the student group(s) that lead to the LEA being required to develop this goal, how the actions and associated metrics included in this goal differ from previous efforts to improve outcomes for the student group(s), and why the LEA believes the actions, metrics, and expenditures included in this goal will help achieve the outcomes identified in the goal description.
**Low-performing school(s) criteria:** The following criteria only applies to a school district or COE with two or more schools; it does not apply to a single-school district. A school district or COE has one or more schools that, for two consecutive years, received the two lowest performance levels on all but one of the state indicators for which the school(s) receive performance levels in the Dashboard and the performance of the “All Students” student group for the LEA is at least one performance level higher in all of those indicators. A list of the LEAs required to include a goal in the LCAP based on school performance, and the school(s) that lead to identification, may be found on the CDE’s Local Control Funding Formula web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.

- **Low-performing school(s) goal requirement:** A school district or COE meeting the low-performing school(s) criteria must include a goal in its LCAP focusing on addressing the disparities in performance between the school(s) and the LEA as a whole. This goal must include metrics, outcomes, actions, and expenditures specific to addressing the needs of, and improving outcomes for, the students enrolled at the low-performing school or schools. An LEA required to address multiple schools is not required to have a goal to address each school; however, each school must be specifically addressed in the goal. This requirement may not be met by combining this goal with another goal.

- **Goal Description:** Describe what outcomes the LEA plans to achieve to address the disparities in performance between the students enrolled at the low-performing school(s) and the students enrolled at the LEA as a whole.

- **Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal:** Explain why the LEA is required to develop this goal, including identifying the schools(s) that lead to the LEA being required to develop this goal; how the actions and associated metrics included in this goal differ from previous efforts to improve outcomes for the school(s); and why the LEA believes the actions, metrics, and expenditures included in this goal will help achieve the outcomes for students enrolled at the low-performing school or schools identified in the goal description.

**Measuring and Reporting Results:**

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes. LEAs are encouraged to identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that would reflect narrowing of any existing performance gaps.

Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with this metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2019 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available (e.g., high school graduation rate).

Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS. Because final 2020–21 outcomes on some metrics may not be computable at the time the 2021–24 LCAP is adopted (e.g., graduation rate, suspension rate), the most recent data available may include a point in time calculation taken each year on the same date for comparability purposes.
The baseline data shall remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.

Complete the table as follows:

- **Metric**: Indicate how progress is being measured using a metric.
- **Baseline**: Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2021–22. As described above, the baseline is the most recent data associated with a metric. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above.
- **Year 1 Outcome**: When completing the LCAP for 2022–23, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above.
- **Year 2 Outcome**: When completing the LCAP for 2023–24, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above.
- **Year 3 Outcome**: When completing the LCAP for 2024–25, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above. The 2024–25 LCAP will be the first year in the next three-year cycle. Completing this column will be part of the Annual Update for that year.
- **Desired Outcome for 2023–24**: When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the desired outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the 2023–24 LCAP year.

Timeline for completing the "Measuring and Reporting Results" part of the Goal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Year 1 Outcome</th>
<th>Year 2 Outcome</th>
<th>Year 3 Outcome</th>
<th>Desired Outcome for Year 3 (2023–24)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2021–22.</td>
<td>Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2021–22.</td>
<td>Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2022–23. Leave blank until then.</td>
<td>Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2023–24. Leave blank until then.</td>
<td>Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25. Leave blank until then.</td>
<td>Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2021–22 or when adding a new metric.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA’s LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year as applicable to the type of LEA. To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant self-reflection tool for local indicators within the Dashboard.

**Actions**: Enter the action number. Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables. Provide a description of the action. Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the summary tables. Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increase or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No. (Note: for each such action offered on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Summary Section to address the requirements in California Code of Regulations, Title 5 [5 CCR] Section 15496(b) in the Increased or Improved Services Section of the LCAP).

**Actions for English Learners**: School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant English learner student subgroup must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum, the language acquisition programs, as defined in EC Section 306, provided to students and professional development activities specific to English learners.

**Actions for Foster Youth**: School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant Foster Youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to Foster Youth students.

**Goal Analysis**:

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process. This must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

- Describe the effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA. In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal. When responding to this prompt, LEAs
may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA’s description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Requirements and Instructions

*Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants*: Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner students.

*Projected Additional LCFF Concentration Grant (15 percent)*: Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in EC Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

*Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year*: Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

*LCFF Carryover — Percentage*: Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
**LCFF Carryover — Dollar:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero ($0).

**Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEAs percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

**Required Descriptions:**

For each action being provided to an entire school, or across the entire school district or COE, an explanation of (1) how the needs of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students were considered first, and (2) how these actions are effective in meeting the goals for these students.

For each action included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement for unduplicated pupils and provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis, the LEA must include an explanation consistent with 5 CCR Section 15496(b). For any such actions continued into the 2021–24 LCAP from the 2017–2020 LCAP, the LEA must determine whether or not the action was effective as expected, and this determination must reflect evidence of outcome data or actual implementation to date.

**Principally Directed and Effective:** An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA’s goals for unduplicated students when the LEA explains how:

- It considers the needs, conditions, or circumstances of its unduplicated pupils;

- The action, or aspect(s) of the action (including, for example, its design, content, methods, or location), is based on these considerations; and

- The action is intended to help achieve an expected measurable outcome of the associated goal.

As such, the response provided in this section may rely on a needs assessment of unduplicated students.

Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient. Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increase or improve services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For example, if an LEA determines that low-income students have a significantly lower attendance rate than the attendance rate for all students, it might justify LEA-wide or schoolwide actions to address this area of need in the following way:
After assessing the needs, conditions, and circumstances of our low-income students, we learned that the attendance rate of our low-income students is 7 percent lower than the attendance rate for all students. (Needs, Conditions, Circumstances [Principally Directed])

In order to address this condition of our low-income students, we will develop and implement a new attendance program that is designed to address some of the major causes of absenteeism, including lack of reliable transportation and food, as well as a school climate that does not emphasize the importance of attendance. Goal N, Actions X, Y, and Z provide additional transportation and nutritional resources as well as a districtwide educational campaign on the benefits of high attendance rates. (Contributing Action[s])

These actions are being provided on an LEA-wide basis and we expect/hope that all students with less than a 100 percent attendance rate will benefit. However, because of the significantly lower attendance rate of low-income students, and because the actions meet needs most associated with the chronic stresses and experiences of a socio-economically disadvantaged status, we expect that the attendance rate for our low-income students will increase significantly more than the average attendance rate of all other students. (Measurable Outcomes [Effective In])

**COEs and Charter Schools**: Describe how actions included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement on an LEA-wide basis are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities as described above. In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

**For School Districts Only:**

**Actions Provided on an LEA-Wide Basis:**

**Unduplicated Percentage > 55 percent**: For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of 55 percent or more, describe how these actions are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities as described above.

**Unduplicated Percentage < 55 percent**: For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent, describe how these actions are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities. Also describe how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet these goals for its unduplicated pupils. Provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

**Actions Provided on a Schoolwide Basis:**

School Districts must identify in the description those actions being funded and provided on a schoolwide basis, and include the required description supporting the use of the funds on a schoolwide basis.
For schools with 40 percent or more enrollment of unduplicated pupils: Describe how these actions are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities.

For school districts expending funds on a schoolwide basis at a school with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils: Describe how these actions are principally directed to and how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet its goals for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students in the state and any local priorities.

A description of how services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students are being increased or improved by the percentage required.

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR Section 15496, describe how services provided for unduplicated pupils are increased or improved by at least the percentage calculated as compared to the services provided for all students in the LCAP year. To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis or provided on a limited basis to unduplicated students. A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students. This description must address how these action(s) are expected to result in the required proportional increase or improvement in services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services the LEA provides to all students for the relevant LCAP year.

For any action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. See the instructions for determining the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for information on calculating the Percentage of Improved Services.

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in EC Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:
An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.

Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.

In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA. The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA. The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA. The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA. The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

**Action Tables**

Complete the Data Entry Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. With the exception of the Data Entry Table, the word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:
Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)

Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)

Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2022–23 LCAP, 2022–23 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2021–22 will be the current LCAP Year.

Data Entry Table

The Data Entry Table may be included in the LCAP as adopted by the local governing board or governing body, but is not required to be included. In the Data Entry Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year**: Identify the applicable LCAP Year.

- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount of LCFF funding the LEA estimates it will receive for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant Program and the Home to School Transportation Program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8).

  See EC sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF apportionment calculations.

- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants**: Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will receive on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.

- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year**: This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.

- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage**: Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year: This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover — Percentage. This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.

Goal #: Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.

Action #: Enter the action’s number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.

Action Title: Provide a title of the action.

Student Group(s): Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering “All,” or by entering a specific student group or groups.

Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?: Type “Yes” if the action is included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services; OR, type “No” if the action is not included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services.

If “Yes” is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:

- Scope: The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.

- Unduplicated Student Group(s): Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.

- Location: Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate “All Schools.” If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter “Specific Schools” or “Specific Grade Spans.” Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.

- Time Span: Enter “ongoing” if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter “1 Year,” or “2 Years,” or “6 Months.”

- Total Personnel: Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel**: This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.

- **LCFF Funds**: Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA’s total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
  - **Note**: For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.

- **Other State Funds**: Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

- **Local Funds**: Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

- **Federal Funds**: Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

- **Total Funds**: This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.

- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services**: For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.
  - As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

  For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which the LEA estimates would cost $165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of $165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Service for the action.
Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses.

Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants**: Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.

- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services**: For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).

  - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been $169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of $169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.
LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount of LCFF funding the LEA estimates it will receive for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant Program and the Home to School Transportation Program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8).

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year**: This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

**Contributing Actions Table**

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column

- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**
  - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column

- Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)
  - This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

**Contributing Actions Annual Update Table**

Pursuant to EC Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater
than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**
  - This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
  - This amount is the total of the Last Year’s Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)

- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)

- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**
  - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4)

- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column

- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column

- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**
  - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8)

**LCFF Carryover Table**

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)**
This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.

11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)

This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)

If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)

This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).
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