School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).

Budget Overview for the 2022-23 School Year

This chart shows the total general purpose revenue REACH expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for REACH is $1,449,869, of which $773,775 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), $207,197 is other state funds, $420,000 is local funds,
and $48,897 is federal funds. Of the $773,775 in LCFF Funds, $59,341 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).
The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: REACH plans to spend $1,397,780 for the 2022-23 school year. Of that amount, $88100 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and $1,309,680 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:

### Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2022-23 School Year

In 2022-23, REACH is projecting it will receive $59,341 based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. REACH must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. REACH plans to spend $72,191 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.
LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2021-22

This chart compares what REACH budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what REACH estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2021-22, REACH's LCAP budgeted $53,651 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. REACH actually spent $40,800 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2021-22.
Supplement to the Annual Update to the 2021–22 Local Control and Accountability Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name</th>
<th>Contact Name and Title</th>
<th>Email and Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REACH</td>
<td>Angie Powers Director</td>
<td><a href="mailto:apowers@reach-program.com">apowers@reach-program.com</a> 707.823.8618</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

California’s 2021–22 Budget Act, the federal American Rescue Plan Act of 2021, and other state and federal relief acts have provided local educational agencies (LEAs) with a significant increase in funding to support students, teachers, staff, and their communities in recovering from the COVID-19 pandemic and to address the impacts of distance learning on students. The following is a one-time mid-year report to the local governing board or body and educational partners related to engagement on, and implementation of, these Acts.

A description of how and when the LEA engaged, or plans to engage, its educational partners on the use of funds provided through the Budget Act of 2021 that were not included in the 2020–21 Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP).

N/A

A description of how the LEA used, or plans to use, the additional concentration grant add-on funding it received to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students on school campuses with an enrollment of students who are low-income, English learners, and/or foster youth that is greater than 55 percent.

A description of how and when the LEA engaged its educational partners on the use of one-time federal funds received that are intended to support recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic and the impacts of distance learning on pupils.

Our primary use of funds has gone to the employment of full-time paraprofessional staff
A description of how the LEA is implementing the federal American Rescue Plan Act and federal Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief expenditure plan, and the successes and challenges experienced during implementation.

A description of how the LEA is using its fiscal resources received for the 2021–22 school year in a manner that is consistent with the applicable plans and is aligned with the LEA’s 2021–22 LCAP and Annual Update.

Instructions for the Supplement to the Annual Update for the 2021–22 Local Control and Accountability Plan Year

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Supplement to the Annual Update to the 2021–22 Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education’s (CDE’s) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at lcff@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction

California’s 2021–22 Budget Act, the federal American Rescue Plan Act of 2021, and other state and federal relief acts have provided local educational agencies (LEAs) with a significant increase in funding to support students, teachers, staff, and their communities in recovering from the COVID-19 pandemic and to address the impacts of distance learning on students. Section 124(e) of Assembly Bill 130 requires LEAs to present an update on the Annual Update to the 2021–22 LCAP and Budget Overview for Parents on or before February 28, 2022, at a regularly scheduled meeting of the governing board or body of the LEA. At this meeting, the LEA must include all of the following:

- The Supplement to the Annual Update for the 2021–22 LCAP (2021–22 Supplement);
- All available mid-year outcome data related to metrics identified in the 2021–22 LCAP; and
- Mid-year expenditure and implementation data on all actions identified in the 2021–22 LCAP.
When reporting available mid-year outcome, expenditure, and implementation data, LEAs have flexibility to provide this information as best suits the local context, provided that it is succinct and contains a level of detail that is meaningful and accessible for the LEA’s educational partners.

The 2021–22 Supplement is considered part of the 2022–23 LCAP for the purposes of adoption, review, and approval, and must be included with the LCAP as follows:

- The 2022–23 Budget Overview for Parents
- The 2021–22 Supplement
- The 2022–23 LCAP
- The Action Tables for the 2022–23 LCAP
- The Instructions for the LCAP Template

As such, the 2021–22 Supplement will be submitted for review and approval as part of the LEA’s 2022–23 LCAP.

**Instructions**

Respond to the following prompts, as required. In responding to these prompts, LEAs must, to the greatest extent practicable, provide succinct responses that contain a level of detail that will be meaningful and accessible for the LEA’s educational partners and the broader public and must, to the greatest extent practicable, use language that is understandable and accessible to parents.

In responding to these prompts, the LEA has flexibility to reference information provided in other planning documents. An LEA that chooses to reference information provided in other planning documents must identify the plan(s) being referenced, where the plan(s) are located (such as a link to a web page), and where in the plan the information being referenced may be found.

**Prompt 1:** “A description of how and when the LEA engaged, or plans to engage, its educational partners on the use of funds provided through the Budget Act of 2021 that were not included in the 2020–21 Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP).”

In general, LEAs have flexibility in deciding what funds are included in the LCAP and to what extent those funds are included. If the LEA received funding through the Budget Act of 2021 that it would have typically included within its LCAP, identify the funds provided in the Budget Act of 2021 that were not included in the LCAP and provide a description of how the LEA has engaged its educational partners on the use of funds. If an LEA included the applicable funds in its adopted 2021–22 LCAP, provide this explanation.

**Prompt 2:** “A description of how LEA used, or plans to use, the concentration grant add-on funding it received to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students on school campuses with an enrollment of students who are low-income, English learners, and/or foster youth that is greater than 55 percent.”

If LEA does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on, provide this explanation.

Describe how the LEA is using, or plans to use, the concentration grant add-on funds received consistent with California *Education Code*.
Section 42238.02, as amended, to increase the number of certificated staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students on school campuses with greater than 55 percent unduplicated pupil enrollment, as compared to schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent.

In the event that the additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, describe how the LEA is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

**Prompt 3:** “A description of how and when the LEA engaged its educational partners on the use of one-time federal funds received that are intended to support recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic and the impacts of distance learning on pupils.”

If the LEA did not receive one-time federal funding to support recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic and the impacts of distance learning on students, provide this explanation.

Describe how and when the LEA engaged its educational partners on the use of one-time federal funds it received that are intended to support recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic and the impacts of distance learning on students. See the COVID-19 Relief Funding Summary Sheet web page (https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/cr/relieffunds.asp) for a listing of COVID-19 relief funding and the Federal Stimulus Funding web page (https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/cr/) for additional information on these funds. The LEA is not required to describe engagement that has taken place related to state funds.

**Prompt 4:** “A description of how the LEA is implementing the federal American Rescue Plan Act and federal Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief expenditure plan, and the successes and challenges experienced during implementation.”

If an LEA does not receive ESSER III funding, provide this explanation.

Describe the LEA’s implementation of its efforts to maintain the health and safety of students, educators, and other staff and ensure the continuity of services, as required by the federal American Rescue Plan Act of 2021, and its implementation of the federal Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief (ESSER) expenditure plan to date, including successes and challenges.

**Prompt 5:** “A description of how the LEA is using its fiscal resources received for the 2021–22 school year in a manner that is consistent with the applicable plans and is aligned with the LEA’s 2021–22 LCAP and Annual Update.”

Summarize how the LEA is using its fiscal resources received for the 2021–22 school year to implement the requirements of applicable plans in a manner that is aligned with the LEA’s 2021–22 LCAP. For purposes of responding to this prompt, “applicable plans” include the Safe Return to In-Person Instruction and Continuity of Services Plan and the ESSER III Expenditure Plan.

California Department of Education
November 2021
Local Control Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name | Contact Name and Title | Email and Phone
--- | --- | ---
REACH | Angie Powers  Director | apowers@reach-program.com  707-823-8618

Plan Summary [2022-23]

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

The REACH School offers its site-based and Independent Study programs to Transitional Kindergarten through 8th grade students. REACH actively recruits a student population that is reflective of the demographics of the general population residing within the territorial jurisdiction of the Sebastopol Union School District. The school had seen growth in its population in the three initial years of the previous LCAP period, growing from a P2 ADA of 87 in 2016-17 to 112 in 2017-18 and 133 in the 2018-2019 school year. The pandemic continues to impact our enrollment, and after a dip in the 2020-21 school year, REACH was faced with an additional loss of enrollment. P2 for 2021-22 now stands at 83.

The school maintains a small school environment with class sizes averaging 20 or fewer, though this year one classroom reached 28 students, which was supported by an assistant teacher and paraprofessional staff. Approximately 21% of our students are low-income, which is lower percentage-wise than previous years, but may reflect the overall reduction in enrollment. Currently, 21% of our students demonstrate some level of disability as identified in a Section 504 Plan or an IEP for special education services, which is a small increase from previous years. The 2021-2022 school year was the fifth year of the school's most recent charter renewal and prior to the pandemic would have been our renewal year. It is the fifth year as an independent LEA for special education. The school works under the Sonoma County SELPA and provides a full range of services to our students via independent service agreements with providers and a full-time resource specialist who also coordinates and administers services. REACH will submit a petition for renewal in the Fall of 2023.

The mission and vision of the school provide the foundation for all academic, elective, and social/emotional programs: The REACH School is a creative, liberal arts school that supports the emerging child in becoming a whole person through the integration of strong academics, creative arts, and community involvement in an environment that fosters acceptance of and respect for self and others.
This vision is accomplished through the dedication of skilled teachers, program leadership, and involved families; enriched activities, collaborative relationships with the school administration, and committed support from the REACH Parent Foundation and REACH Education Foundation.

Staff professional growth and stability have remained a key focus for the school and administration despite the loss of two staff members due to significant enrollment decline. In the 2021-2022 school year, all staff attended the virtual MTSS conference in July and received training in either PBL, Positive Discipline or Mindset Math. In addition, a Lead Teacher / PBL Coach position was created to ensure the ongoing improvement of our PBL program across the school.

REACH was certified by the Positive Discipline Association starting in 2018-2019 as a Positive Discipline Lab School in recognition of its efforts in implementing the program school-wide. In 2021-2022, REACH was certified as a Positive Discipline Lab School for the fourth year, and the school offered free parent education virtually in the spring of 2022.

When students arrived in the fall, many were emotionally struggling or disengaged from education as a result of the long distance-learning process. In addition, the scores of those students were significantly lower than grade-level scores for students before the pandemic or even what had been recorded in the spring of 21. In addition, absenteeism remained a problem, despite regular messaging from the school.

Collaboration across the organization remains a key asset in addressing the challenges of this past school year and in developing and strengthening our program in the face of the changes brought about by the pandemic. A team of Board members, teachers, administrators, and support staff joined in a multi week project of designing and implementing the 2022-23 school year to better meet the needs of our students, keeping emotional well-being front and center as a pillar to academic growth.

**Reflections: Successes**

A description of successes and/or progress based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

We launched Mindset Mathematics, both as a regular classroom practice and two summer camps which we offered our community on a sliding scale, to make sure all those who wanted to attend could. This approach to mathematics has also supported the social emotional underpinnings of our math program with its focus on “low floor, high ceiling” tasks. This approach supports students who were not only behind because of distance learning but who had diverse ways of approaching math, to gain conceptual understanding, and to find places in the math program where they shine. We were able to launch this initiative in the Spring and saw a drop in resistance almost immediately.

The school met its goal of 95+% participation in the state testing, despite the fact that our significantly reduced enrollment meant that only three students across the on campus and independent study programs would put us below that percentage.

Last year also saw the replacement of two key leadership roles: the former director and the SPED Teacher left the school. The office manager had only one year of school experience, and that was during distance learning with no students on campus. Despite those
challenges, the school improved its documentation around procedures, and streamlined systems to better align with staffing for a school of our size. The school was able to support two staff members who arrived as aids in getting their substitute credentials, increasing capacity in a challenging school staffing moment.

Lastly, the school inherited the campus, offering a financial cushion and long term security for the school.

Reflections: Identified Need
A description of any areas that need significant improvement based on a review of Dashboard and local data, including any areas of low performance and significant performance gaps among student groups on Dashboard indicators, and any steps taken to address those areas.

Traditional approaches to managing absenteeism were not very effective for us this year. While we continually messaged the community about the importance of attendance, some non-traditional groups were absent, as fear of Covid, a sense of reprioritizing how families spend their time and exhaustion from the need to quarantine from exposures left some members feeling as though on campus participation was flexible.

The school also faced the need to reduce teaching staff in the face of the drastic reduction in enrollment. While we had improved our ability to navigate the impacts of exposures and quarantine, staff was still fatigued by the shifting demands of Covid protocols throughout the year.

While we did offer a free parent education class, attendance was well below what we would have hoped and messaging to families, including community building on campus, will be needed in the coming year to improve participation.

LCAP Highlights
A brief overview of the LCAP, including any key features that should be emphasized.

This LCAP features actions to target specific gaps in ELA (reading and writing) and mathematics (numeracy) for the population that the school serves. Many students arrived with very little resilience in the face of academic challenge so our LCAP and plans moving forward are designed to improve our identification of high-risk students, and to improve the application of support more rapidly than we have been able to in the past, including emotional support to empower students to persevere in learning challenge.

The key features of this plan are building organizational capacity in curriculum delivery (continued staff development in the areas of Project-based learning, Positive Discipline, and Universal Design for Learning and Multi-tiered Systems of Support), improving communication between organizational units to better connect students with support.
**Comprehensive Support and Improvement**

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

**Schools Identified**

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

N/A

**Support for Identified Schools**

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

N/A

**Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness**

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

N/A
Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners and how this engagement was considered before finalizing the LCAP.

Consultation with professional organizations, 2021-2022 ongoing – The director attended LCAP online training to understand the changes in the new LCAP requirements.

Previous Parent Survey from 2021 provided a baseline for parent feedback on school climate and concerns and was repeated this year to document the impact of the many challenges the school faced this year.

Parent Community Meetings: “Coffee with the Director” - was launched in the spring of 2022, inviting parents to visit in person and share concerns and ideas for school improvement.

Staff Meetings, 2021-2022 ongoing –. Teachers have continuous opportunities to provide feedback throughout the year, during staff meetings, as well as via email or direct conversation.

Monthly meetings of the Reach Parent Foundation Board of Directors, 2018-2019 ongoing –
Reach Parent Foundation (RPF) board members frequently engage in activities at the site level taking regular feedback from the director, teachers, parents and students. The board has numerous opportunities for input regarding the school LCAP specifically from the director.

A summary of the feedback provided by specific educational partners.

Communication was a central theme from parents and between staff members. Despite having a system for schoolwide communication (Parentsquare), many parents expressed either not being aware of things that had been posted, or struggling with the tool itself. In addition, it was not always clear who was the right person to reach out to for clarification or support. A few parents felt as though their students had challenges that the school didn’t sufficiently address.

Staff also noted the importance of emotional support for students, and a deepening of positive discipline processes and procedures for dealing with student behavior on the playground and in the classroom in order to get to the academics more effectively.

Lastly, resources were a constant concern. The consolidation of two classes as well as higher levels of student behavior challenges in the classroom and on the playground meant we had to maintain high ratios of adults to students to keep the environment functional. Staff burnout was high, and a desire for more support staff continued throughout the year.
A description of the aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by specific input from educational partners.

Parent feedback gave us a better sense of how we need to improve communication to parents and has impacted our goals around providing information home when we don't have a lot of homework for parents to see the work their students are doing. In addition, this communication need helped us determine that we need to unify our LMS to give parents better insight into their students' work.

Board and staff feedback impacted the focus on building capacity to identify struggling students and address performance gaps through a multi-tiered system of support and building off of the foundation established this year in staff training. Staff feedback also helped sharpen the focus on organizational internal communication.
Goals and Actions

Goal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal #</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MTSS Support: Apply Multi-tiered system of support (MTSS) to address the varied needs to students and their ELA and mathematics deficits with tier 2 and 3 emphases on at-risk students.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Low-income and struggling students demonstrate persistent gaps in the areas of reading and writing in ELA, as well as in basic numeracy. These deficits have been exaggerated during the period of distance learning.

Measuring and Reporting Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Year 1 Outcome</th>
<th>Year 2 Outcome</th>
<th>Year 3 Outcome</th>
<th>Desired Outcome for 2023–24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adapt PBL plans and rubrics to contain integrated UDL strategies in ELA and/or mathematics</td>
<td>In conversation with staff, as we went to execute, it became clear that staff did not feel sufficiently trained in PBL and that PBL adoption was inconsistent across the grades. We modified the goal for this year to address the training and infrastructure needs to meet this goal.</td>
<td>All staff participated in structured training and implementation process for PBL and staff has been identified to ensure adoption and consistency for the program.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All teacher-led PBL plans and rubrics contain integrated UDL strategies in ELA and/or mathematics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students meeting or exceeding grade-level median scores on in-house or SBAC Interim Assessments</td>
<td>The baseline from Spring 2021 did not hold. Students came in at this revised baseline on the same internal tool,</td>
<td>Winter and Spring assessments with Riverside Insights show improvement. While overall fewer students took the test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75% of students consistently assess at grade level or above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Year 1 Outcome</td>
<td>Year 2 Outcome</td>
<td>Year 3 Outcome</td>
<td>Desired Outcome for 2023–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EasyCBM. Grades 3-8: 10% of students at some risk and 7% at high risk in ELA; 21.3% of students at some risk and 8.3% of students at high risk in Math.</td>
<td>in the second half of the year due to declines in enrollment and attendance, we still see some improvement in percentages. In Grades 3-8, for ELA, we held steady at 10% of students scoring as some risk and 2% of students scoring in the high risk category. For math, in grades 3-8, we had 10% of students scoring as having some risk and 10% scoring at high risk.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated staff time for intervention tracking and assessment</td>
<td>Currently, the staff dedicates some time over the course of the year for review and updating student reports and tracking at-risk students.</td>
<td>During the end-of-year reflection, staff has decided that this metric is best served in a more individualized manner, and are initiating student learning contracts, which will require one-on-one time between student and teacher, and teacher-to-teacher communication to be effective. Integrated technology tools to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All students have individual learning contracts the monitoring of which is handled both by in-person regular discussion with teachers and staff and through effective technology supports providing insight to parents as well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Year 1 Outcome</td>
<td>Year 2 Outcome</td>
<td>Year 3 Outcome</td>
<td>Desired Outcome for 2023–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>effectively track student progress.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Actions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action #</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Total Funds</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>PBL academic integration</td>
<td>PBL training to unify PBL across the program through dedicated staff time, staff training, and the utilization of PBL coach or lead teacher.</td>
<td>$1,600.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ELA Curriculum Training</td>
<td>Elevated Voices training in grades K-8 inclusive.</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ELA RTI</td>
<td>Adoption of ELA (SIPPS) curriculum for ELA small group and one-to-one intervention. Teacher training on SIPPS and intervention strategies.</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Student Assessment</td>
<td>Use of SBAC Interim Assessments and EasyCBM for student benchmark and ongoing progress monitoring for struggling student identification and tracking. Additionally LMS will be required for individualized student feedback, which will also mean training for teachers to implement.</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Teacher MTSS and UDL training</td>
<td>MTSS and UDL training for teachers and dedicated time for peer collaboration and review.</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Aide Support</td>
<td>Additional aide support for conducting small group ELA interventions.</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Goal Analysis [2021-22]
An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.
A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

The biggest changes were the implementation of the PBL training for all teachers and the use of staff meetings to increase collaboration between teachers to achieve enough PBL mastery to approach the previous goal of adapting rubrics as well as the introduction of a PBL Lead Teacher/Coach.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

The teacher's ability to effectively utilize PBL tools increased dramatically and has impacted our project plans for next year. Teachers are more ready to adapt rubrics using UDL methodologies to PBL Rubrics.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Staff articulated their concerns about being effective in the area of PBL and expressed a lack of confidence in being able to execute. That reflection was a key driver in the modification of action 1, and necessarily changed the outcomes metric as well.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year’s actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year’s actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.
Goals and Actions

Goal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal #</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CAASPP Performance: Improve academic performance in the areas of ELA and mathematics for all students through CCSS-based ELA and mathematics training and resources.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

SBAC Testing data as well as teacher-driven assessments consistently indicate low performance on academic achievement in the area of math. Data also indicates a significant achievement gap for low-income students.

ELA SBAC assessment data as well as teacher-driven assessments indicate an achievement gap for low-income students.

Measuring and Reporting Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Year 1 Outcome</th>
<th>Year 2 Outcome</th>
<th>Year 3 Outcome</th>
<th>Desired Outcome for 2023–24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All students will meet or exceeded the standards on the SBAC Math assessment at the same or better percentage as the State.</td>
<td>33.76% of REACH students met or exceeded SBAC Math standards (2019) compared to 39.73% statewide.</td>
<td>Data Not Available Yet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75% of students meet or exceeded the standards on the SBAC Math assessment at the same or better percentage as the State.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-income students will meet or exceed the standards on the SBAC math assessment at the same rate as other REACH students.</td>
<td>19.23% of low-income students met or exceeded SBAC Math standards (2019).</td>
<td>Data Not Available Yet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low-income students meet or exceed the standards on the SBAC Math assessment at the same rate as other REACH students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Year 1 Outcome</td>
<td>Year 2 Outcome</td>
<td>Year 3 Outcome</td>
<td>Desired Outcome for 2023–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increase of 2% in student proficiency on SBAC math from beginning of the 2021-2022 school year baseline data.</td>
<td>Data Not Available Yet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An increase of 2% or more in student proficiency on SBAC math from beginning of the 2021-2022 school year baseline data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All students will meet or exceeded the standards on the SBAC ELA assessment at the same or better percentage as the State.</td>
<td>48.68% of REACH students met or exceeded SBAC ELA standards (2019) compared to 51.1% statewide.</td>
<td>Data Not Available Yet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75% of students meet or exceeded the standards on the SBAC ELA assessment at the same or better percentage as the State.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-income students will meet or exceed the standards on the SBAC ELA assessment at the same rate as other REACH students.</td>
<td>34.61% of low-income students met or exceeded SBAC ELA standards (2019).</td>
<td>Data Not Available Yet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low-income students meet or exceed the standards on the SBAC ELA assessment at the same rate as other REACH students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increase of 2% in student proficiency on SBAC ELA from beginning of the 2021-2022 school year baseline data.</td>
<td>Data Not Available Yet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An increase of 2% or more in student proficiency on SBAC ELA from beginning of the 2021-2022 school year baseline data.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Actions**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action #</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Total Funds</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>K-4 ELA Curriculum Training</td>
<td>Training and implementation of a structured K-4 grade reading/writing, and reading intervention curriculum. Continue the teacher curriculum review committee for the purposes of review of ELA curriculum implementation and ongoing teacher training.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>ELA Assessment</td>
<td>In-house CCSS-aligned assessment program in ELA. Teacher release time to review assessments and plan RTI.</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Aide Support</td>
<td>Paraprofessional/special education aide support for student small group interventions in ELA.</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>K-8 Math Curriculum</td>
<td>K-8 math materials and teacher training</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Math Assessment</td>
<td>In-house CCSS aligned assessment program in mathematics. Teacher release time to review assessments and plan RTI.</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Aide Support</td>
<td>Paraprofessional/special education aide salary for student small group interventions in mathematics.</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goal Analysis [2021-22]**

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

None, we began instituting the interim assessments while concurrently running our in-house assessment program, as described.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

To date, the school is just beginning to understand the state tools. The Lead teacher was able to attend some training on Tools for Teachers provided by the state; however, teacher adoption across the program was somewhat spotty. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year’s actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year’s actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.
## Goals and Actions

### Goal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal #</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SEL Support: Provide support and resources for student and family resilience and social-emotional stability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Recent disruptions on in-person learning as well as clear need for low income students to access emotional support resources needed for successful academic engagement.

### Measuring and Reporting Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Year 1 Outcome</th>
<th>Year 2 Outcome</th>
<th>Year 3 Outcome</th>
<th>Desired Outcome for 2023–24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70% of parents will participate in at least one school-led positive discipline training.</td>
<td>No baseline data for 20-21 school year. A small percentage of students have participated in at least one PD training.</td>
<td>Covid continued to challenge in-person engagement. We ran an 8 week parent education program, but attendance was low (two families consistently)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70% of parents annually participate in at least one school led positive discipline training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% student attendance rate.</td>
<td>As of the 2019-2020 school year, REACH maintained an ADA of just over 95%.</td>
<td>Covid exacerbated any problems students had coming to school. We are currently at roughly 92% attendance rate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>95% student attendance rate.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action #</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Total Funds</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Parent Education</td>
<td>REACH will provide teacher-led parenting classes on elements of positive discipline for parents over the course of the school year.</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action #</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Total Funds</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Parent Communication</td>
<td>Increase parent communication from school office on school activities, attendance information, and community resources for health and wellness.</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goal Analysis [2021-22]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

We weren't that effective in getting families who felt flexible about being at school to change that pattern. We will continue to offer support to families who struggle with attendance adding more messaging from the classroom, increased community activities to bring families together and improve cultural expectations around attendance to support families who haven't traditionally missed school in reprioritizing it and low-income families who struggle to get their students to school to get support in arriving.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year’s actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year’s actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.
Goals and Actions

Goal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal #</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Measuring and Reporting Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Year 1 Outcome</th>
<th>Year 2 Outcome</th>
<th>Year 3 Outcome</th>
<th>Desired Outcome for 2023–24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action #</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Total Funds</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goal Analysis [2021-22]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.
A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.
An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year’s actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year’s actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.
Goals and Actions

Goal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal #</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Measuring and Reporting Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Year 1 Outcome</th>
<th>Year 2 Outcome</th>
<th>Year 3 Outcome</th>
<th>Desired Outcome for 2023–24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action #</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Funds</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Goal Analysis [2021-22]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.
An explanation of how effective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year’s actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year’s actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.
Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2022-23]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants</th>
<th>Projected Additional LCFF Concentration Grant (15 percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>59,341</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year</th>
<th>LCFF Carryover — Percentage</th>
<th>LCFF Carryover — Dollar</th>
<th>Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.31%</td>
<td>1.90%</td>
<td>$12,850.10</td>
<td>10.20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

**Required Descriptions**

For each action being provided to an entire school, or across the entire school district or county office of education (COE), an explanation of (1) how the needs of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students were considered first, and (2) how these actions are effective in meeting the goals for these students.

1. **MTSS Support**

A strong multi-tiered system of support allows the robust identification of struggling students and the rapid intervention and progress monitoring response that is targeted to each student’s needs. Increased differentiation of initial instruction also helps insure that struggling students have ample opportunity to improve performance as part of their general education experience before more restrictive interventions are required for remediation.

2. **CAASPP Performance**

As a key accountability measure, REACH sees equity across the student population in terms of academic performance as a key goal of serving students. While a key measure of student achievement is the CAASPP, regular, ongoing performance and progress monitoring for students who may be struggling are key to evaluating and planning program effectiveness. To that end, REACH sees benefit in adopting uniform curriculum and implementing processes and additional personnel time and resources to build classroom instructional effectiveness.
and relevance as well as to further differentiate instruction is service to our diverse learners in a broader context of instructional delivery methods.

3. SEL Support

The school has relied heavily on the Positive Discipline framework and building this skillset across the organization, as well as SEL curriculum targeted at building a bias-free school environment where students can feel safe, supported, and stay focused on learning. Teachers, staff and parents being trained in a common language and set of understandings supports all students and provides opportunities for discipline redirection before issues become high impact. Our chosen curriculum promotes a supportive school climate, seeks to involve families in problem solving and providing continuity for students throughout their daily experience as a way of creating a supportive and stable structure in which learning can occur in response to multiple context. Continued improvement in parent communications through ParentSquare and community involvement in things like community workdays, parent/teacher conferences, and family education opportunities support student achievement by creating a coherent social net invested in all learners’ success. Chronic absenteeism in any school setting is problematic when students are not connected or feel safe at school, and it’s doubly so in a PBL context as there are no work sheets to make up for missed days. For unduplicated students who disproportionately represent those students experiencing chronic absenteeism and low achievement, these goals provide an additional layer of support for connecting to school, which will improve outcomes across the board for achievement, culture, engagement and parent involvement.

A description of how services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students are being increased or improved by the percentage required.

The School’s unduplicated count for the 20-21 school year was 42% with most of these students falling in the low-income category. This represents 7% increase from the previous (19-20) year in the school's SED count. We do not anticipate any significant changes to this percentage for the 21-22 school year. Based on a number of data points we have determined the most effective use of funds is to provide increased interventions and to maintain small class size in grades K-8 along with a robust social-emotional support and multi-tiered system of support (MTSS). We believe a strong focus on continued development, refinement and increased staffing for the school’s Response to Intervention (RTI) Program will have the largest impact on our unduplicated population, along with improved and consistent initial classroom instruction supported by uniform curriculum at each grade level. The previous program development provided a foundation of support for all struggling learners and continuing to refine procedures and increase staffing for the program will give us the opportunity to identify and specifically target unduplicated students.

Unduplicated students are also disproportionally represented in the group of students who struggle with daily participation and engagement, or are chronically absent or tardy. While the school put into place policies and procedures in 2018-2019, it will continue to improve execution
of those procedures and to use consistent communication with parents to improve attendance and use the lessons learned from distance learning to deepen both student and family engagement with the school and the learning process.

In addition, the school’s strong Social Emotional Learning (SEL) component includes a focus on our most at-risk students many of which fall into the unduplicated category. The goal of our SEL program as outlined in our charter seeks to create a culture of safety and inclusiveness that often bridges a gap for our low-income students who may lack necessities or deal with poverty-related struggles at home. This LCAP includes continued investment in training in Positive Discipline and Welcoming Schools for all staff (as opposed to just core teachers) as well as parent education classes targeted at our most challenged families.

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students</th>
<th>Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less</th>
<th>Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2022-23 Total Expenditures Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Action #</th>
<th>Action Title</th>
<th>Student Group(s)</th>
<th>LCFF Funds</th>
<th>Other State Funds</th>
<th>Local Funds</th>
<th>Federal Funds</th>
<th>Total Funds</th>
<th>Total Personnel</th>
<th>Total Non-Personnel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1 PBL academic integration</td>
<td>All English Learners Foster Youth Low Income</td>
<td>$1,600.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,600.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2 ELA Curriculum Training</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3 ELA RTI</td>
<td>All English Learners Foster Youth Low Income</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4 Student Assessment</td>
<td>All English Learners Foster Youth Low Income</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5 Teacher MTSS and UDL training</td>
<td>All English Learners Foster Youth Low Income</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6 Aide Support</td>
<td>All English Learners Foster Youth Low Income</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.1 K-4 ELA Curriculum Training</td>
<td>All English Learners Foster Youth Low Income</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.2 ELA Assessment</td>
<td>All</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>Action #</td>
<td>Action Title</td>
<td>Student Group(s)</td>
<td>LCFF Funds</td>
<td>Other State Funds</td>
<td>Local Funds</td>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
<td>Total Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Aide Support</td>
<td>All, English Learners, Foster Youth, Low Income</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>K-8 Math Curriculum</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Math Assessment</td>
<td>All</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Aide Support</td>
<td>All, English Learners, Foster Youth, Low Income</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Parent Education</td>
<td>All, English Learners, Foster Youth, Low Income</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Parent Communication</td>
<td>All, English Learners, Foster Youth, Low Income</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2022-23 Contributing Actions Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Projected LCFF Base Grant</th>
<th>2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants</th>
<th>3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)</th>
<th>LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)</th>
<th>Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)</th>
<th>4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)</th>
<th>5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)</th>
<th>Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)</th>
<th>Totals by Type</th>
<th>Total LCFF Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>714,434</td>
<td>59,341</td>
<td>8.31%</td>
<td>1.90%</td>
<td>10.20%</td>
<td>$73,100.00</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>10.23%</td>
<td>$73,100.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEA-wide Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$73,100.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$73,100.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schoolwide Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Goal 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action #</th>
<th>Action Title</th>
<th>Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Unduplicated Student Group(s)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)</th>
<th>Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>PBL academic integration</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)</td>
<td>English Learners Foster Youth Low Income</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,600.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ELA RTI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)</td>
<td>English Learners Foster Youth Low Income</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Student Assessment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)</td>
<td>English Learners Foster Youth Low Income</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Teacher MTSS and UDL training</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)</td>
<td>English Learners Foster Youth Low Income</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Aide Support</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)</td>
<td>English Learners Foster Youth Low Income</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>K-4 ELA Curriculum Training</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)</td>
<td>English Learners Foster Youth Low Income</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>Action #</td>
<td>Action Title</td>
<td>Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Unduplicated Student Group(s)</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Aide Support</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)</td>
<td>English Learners Foster Youth Low Income</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Aide Support</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)</td>
<td>English Learners Foster Youth Low Income</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Parent Education</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)</td>
<td>English Learners Foster Youth Low Income</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Parent Communication</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)</td>
<td>English Learners Foster Youth Low Income</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2021-22 Annual Update Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last Year's Goal #</th>
<th>Last Year's Action #</th>
<th>Prior Action/Service Title</th>
<th>Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?</th>
<th>Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)</th>
<th>Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>PBL academic integration</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ELA Curriculum adoption</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>15000</td>
<td>12000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ELA RTI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Student Assessment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Teacher MTSS and UDL training</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Aide Support</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>26605.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>K-4 ELA Curriculum Training</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>ELA Assessment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Aide Support</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>16945.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>K-8 Math Curriculum</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>2084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Year's Goal #</td>
<td>Last Year's Action #</td>
<td>Prior Action/Service Title</td>
<td>Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?</td>
<td>Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)</td>
<td>Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Math Assessment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Aide Support</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>14,365.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Parent Education</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Parent Communication</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2021-22 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last Year's Goal #</th>
<th>Last Year's Action #</th>
<th>Prior Action/Service Title</th>
<th>Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?</th>
<th>Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)</th>
<th>Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)</th>
<th>Planned Percentage of Improved Services</th>
<th>Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>PBL academic integration</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1596</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ELA Curriculum adoption</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>ELA RTI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Student Assessment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Teacher MTSS and UDL training</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Aide Support</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>K-4 ELA Curriculum Training</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>ELA Assessment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Aide Support</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>K-8 Math Curriculum</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Math Assessment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Aide Support</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes
- **6. Estimated LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)**
- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
- **7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)**
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
- **8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
- **53,651 $6,500.00 $40,800.90 ($34,300.90) 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%**
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last Year's Goal #</th>
<th>Last Year's Action #</th>
<th>Prior Action/Service Title</th>
<th>Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?</th>
<th>Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)</th>
<th>Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)</th>
<th>Planned Percentage of Improved Services</th>
<th>Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Parent Education</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Parent Communication</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2021-22 LCFF Carryover Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)</th>
<th>6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants</th>
<th>LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)</th>
<th>10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)</th>
<th>7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)</th>
<th>8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)</th>
<th>11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)</th>
<th>12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)</th>
<th>13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>677,585</td>
<td>53,651</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.92%</td>
<td>$40,800.90</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>6.02%</td>
<td>$12,850.10</td>
<td>1.90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Instructions

Plan Summary

Engaging Educational Partners

Goals and Actions

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education’s (CDE’s) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at lcff@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning**: The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning (California Education Code [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.

- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners**: The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA’s programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.

- **Accountability and Compliance**: The LCAP serves an important accountability function because aspects of the LCAP template require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:
  
  - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).
  
  - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).
  
  - Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (EC Section 52064[b][7]).
The LCAP template, like each LEA’s final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which should: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in EC sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity’s budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2021–22, 2022–23, and 2023–24 school years reflects statutory changes made through Assembly Bill 1840 (Committee on Budget), Chapter 243, Statutes of 2018. These statutory changes enhance transparency regarding expenditures on actions included in the LCAP, including actions that contribute to meeting the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, and to streamline the information presented within the LCAP to make adopted LCAPs more accessible for educational partners and the public.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA’s diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions that the LEA believes, based on input gathered from educational partners, research, and experience, will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP, but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP itself. Additionally, information is included at the beginning of each section emphasizing the purpose that each section serves.

Plan Summary

Purpose
A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to provide a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included in the subsequent sections of the LCAP.

**Requirements and Instructions**

**General Information** – Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA. For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, or employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information as an LEA wishes to include can enable a reader to more fully understand an LEA’s LCAP.

**Reflections: Successes** – Based on a review of performance on the state indicators and local performance indicators included in the Dashboard, progress toward LCAP goals, local self-assessment tools, input from educational partners, and any other information, what progress is the LEA most proud of and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success? This may include identifying specific examples of how past increases or improvements in services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students have led to improved performance for these students.

**Reflections: Identified Need** – Referring to the Dashboard, identify: (a) any state indicator for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category or any local indicator where the LEA received a “Not Met” or “Not Met for Two or More Years” rating AND (b) any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the “all student” performance. What steps is the LEA planning to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps? An LEA that is required to include a goal to address one or more consistently low-performing student groups or low-performing schools must identify that it is required to include this goal and must also identify the applicable student group(s) and/or school(s). Other needs may be identified using locally collected data including data collected to inform the self-reflection tools and reporting local indicators on the Dashboard.

**LCAP Highlights** – Identify and briefly summarize the key features of this year’s LCAP.

**Comprehensive Support and Improvement** – An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

- **Schools Identified**: Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.
- **Support for Identified Schools**: Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.
- **Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness**: Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

**Engaging Educational Partners**
Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Statute and regulations specify the educational partners that school districts and COEs must consult when developing the LCAP: teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units of the LEA, parents, and students. Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the Parent Advisory Committee and, if applicable, to its English Learner Parent Advisory Committee. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Statute requires charter schools to consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in developing the LCAP. The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals and actions.

Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the following web page of the CDE’s website: https://www.cde.ca.gov/re/lc/.

Requirements and Instructions

Below is an excerpt from the 2018–19 Guide for Annual Audits of K–12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting, which is provided to highlight the legal requirements for engagement of educational partners in the LCAP development process:

Local Control and Accountability Plan:
For county offices of education and school districts only, verify the LEA:

a) Presented the local control and accountability plan to the parent advisory committee in accordance with Education Code section 52062(a)(1) or 52068(a)(1), as appropriate.

b) If applicable, presented the local control and accountability plan to the English learner parent advisory committee, in accordance with Education Code section 52062(a)(2) or 52068(a)(2), as appropriate.
c) Notified members of the public of the opportunity to submit comments regarding specific actions and expenditures proposed to be included in the local control and accountability plan in accordance with Education Code section 52062(a)(3) or 52068(a)(3), as appropriate.

d) Held at least one public hearing in accordance with Education Code section 52062(b)(1) or 52068(b)(1), as appropriate.

e) Adopted the local control and accountability plan in a public meeting in accordance with Education Code section 52062(b)(2) or 52068(b)(2), as appropriate.

Prompt 1: “A summary of the process used to engage educational partners and how this engagement was considered before finalizing the LCAP.”

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve educational partners in the development of the LCAP, including, at a minimum, describing how the LEA met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners as applicable to the type of LEA. A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA’s philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.

Prompt 2: “A summary of the feedback provided by specific educational partners.”

Describe and summarize the feedback provided by specific educational partners. A sufficient response to this prompt will indicate ideas, trends, or inputs that emerged from an analysis of the feedback received from educational partners.

Prompt 3: “A description of the aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by specific input from educational partners.”

A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. The response must describe aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback described in response to Prompt 2. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP. For the purposes of this prompt, “aspects” of an LCAP that may have been influenced by educational partner input can include, but are not necessarily limited to:

- Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
- Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
- Determination of the desired outcome on one or more metrics
- Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
- Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
- Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
- Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
• Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated services
• Determination of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
• Determination of material differences in expenditures
• Determination of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
• Determination of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose
Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal should be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

Requirements and Instructions
LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs should consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

• Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.

• Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.

• Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics.
Focus Goal(s)

Goal Description: The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound. An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach. The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal: Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal. An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data. LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

Broad Goal

Goal Description: Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal. The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal. The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner. A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal: Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

Maintenance of Progress Goal

Goal Description: Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP. Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP. The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal: Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

Required Goals

In general, LEAs have flexibility in determining what goals to include in the LCAP and what those goals will address; however, beginning with the development of the 2022–23 LCAP, LEAs that meet certain criteria are required to include a specific goal in their LCAP.

Consistently low-performing student group(s) criteria: An LEA is eligible for Differentiated Assistance for three or more consecutive years based on the performance of the same student group or groups in the Dashboard. A list of the LEAs required to include a goal in the LCAP based on student group performance, and the student group(s) that lead to identification, may be found on the CDE’s Local Control Funding Formula web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.

- Consistently low-performing student group(s) goal requirement: An LEA meeting the consistently low-performing student group(s) criteria must include a goal in its LCAP focused on improving the performance of the student group or groups that led to the LEA’s eligibility for Differentiated
Assistance. This goal must include metrics, outcomes, actions, and expenditures specific to addressing the needs of, and improving outcomes for, this student group or groups. An LEA required to address multiple student groups is not required to have a goal to address each student group; however, each student group must be specifically addressed in the goal. This requirement may not be met by combining this required goal with another goal.

- **Goal Description**: Describe the outcomes the LEA plans to achieve to address the needs of, and improve outcomes for, the student group or groups that led to the LEA’s eligibility for Differentiated Assistance.

- **Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal**: Explain why the LEA is required to develop this goal, including identifying the student group(s) that lead to the LEA being required to develop this goal, how the actions and associated metrics included in this goal differ from previous efforts to improve outcomes for the student group(s), and why the LEA believes the actions, metrics, and expenditures included in this goal will help achieve the outcomes identified in the goal description.

**Low-performing school(s) criteria**: The following criteria only applies to a school district or COE with two or more schools; it does not apply to a single-school district. A school district or COE has one or more schools that, for two consecutive years, received the two lowest performance levels on all but one of the state indicators for which the school(s) receive performance levels in the Dashboard and the performance of the “All Students” student group for the LEA is at least one performance level higher in all of those indicators. A list of the LEAs required to include a goal in the LCAP based on school performance, and the school(s) that lead to identification, may be found on the CDE’s Local Control Funding Formula web page at [https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/](https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/).

- **Low-performing school(s) goal requirement**: A school district or COE meeting the low-performing school(s) criteria must include a goal in its LCAP focusing on addressing the disparities in performance between the school(s) and the LEA as a whole. This goal must include metrics, outcomes, actions, and expenditures specific to addressing the needs of, and improving outcomes for, the students enrolled at the low-performing school or schools. An LEA required to address multiple schools is not required to have a goal to address each school; however, each school must be specifically addressed in the goal. This requirement may not be met by combining this goal with another goal.

- **Goal Description**: Describe what outcomes the LEA plans to achieve to address the disparities in performance between the students enrolled at the low-performing school(s) and the students enrolled at the LEA as a whole.

- **Explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal**: Explain why the LEA is required to develop this goal, including identifying the schools(s) that lead to the LEA being required to develop this goal; how the actions and associated metrics included in this goal differ from previous efforts to improve outcomes for the school(s); and why the LEA believes the actions, metrics, and expenditures included in this goal will help achieve the outcomes for students enrolled at the low-performing school or schools identified in the goal description.

**Measuring and Reporting Results**: For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes. LEAs are encouraged to identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that would reflect narrowing of any existing performance gaps.
Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with this metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2019 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available (e.g., high school graduation rate).

Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS. Because final 2020–21 outcomes on some metrics may not be computable at the time the 2021–24 LCAP is adopted (e.g., graduation rate, suspension rate), the most recent data available may include a point in time calculation taken each year on the same date for comparability purposes.

The baseline data shall remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.

Complete the table as follows:

- **Metric**: Indicate how progress is being measured using a metric.
- **Baseline**: Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2021–22. As described above, the baseline is the most recent data associated with a metric. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above.
- **Year 1 Outcome**: When completing the LCAP for 2022–23, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above.
- **Year 2 Outcome**: When completing the LCAP for 2023–24, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above.
- **Year 3 Outcome**: When completing the LCAP for 2024–25, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies, consistent with the instructions above. The 2024–25 LCAP will be the first year in the next three-year cycle. Completing this column will be part of the Annual Update for that year.
- **Desired Outcome for 2023–24**: When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the desired outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the 2023–24 LCAP year.

Timeline for completing the “**Measuring and Reporting Results**” part of the Goal.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Year 1 Outcome</th>
<th>Year 2 Outcome</th>
<th>Year 3 Outcome</th>
<th>Desired Outcome for Year 3 (2023–24)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2021–22.</td>
<td>Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2022–23. Leave blank until then.</td>
<td>Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2022–23. Leave blank until then.</td>
<td>Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2023–24. Leave blank until then.</td>
<td>Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2023–24. Leave blank until then.</td>
<td>Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25. Leave blank until then.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA’s LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year as applicable to the type of LEA. To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant self-reflection tool for local indicators within the Dashboard.

**Actions**: Enter the action number. Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables. Provide a description of the action. Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the summary tables. Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increase or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No. (Note: for each such action offered on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Summary Section to address the requirements in California Code of Regulations, Title 5 [5 CCR] Section 15496(b) in the Increased or Improved Services Section of the LCAP).

**Actions for English Learners**: School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant English learner student subgroup must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum, the language acquisition programs, as defined in EC Section 306, provided to students and professional development activities specific to English learners.

**Actions for Foster Youth**: School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant Foster Youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to Foster Youth students.

**Goal Analysis**:

Enter the LCAP Year.
Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process. This must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

- Describe the effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the LEA. In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal. When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.

### Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

#### Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA’s description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

#### Requirements and Instructions

*Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:* Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner students.
**Projected Additional LCFF Concentration Grant (15 percent):** Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in EC Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

**Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

**LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

**LCFF Carryover — Dollar:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero ($0).

**Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEAs percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

**Required Descriptions:**

For each action being provided to an entire school, or across the entire school district or COE, an explanation of (1) how the needs of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students were considered first, and (2) how these actions are effective in meeting the goals for these students.

For each action included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement for unduplicated pupils and provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis, the LEA must include an explanation consistent with 5 CCR Section 15496(b). For any such actions continued into the 2021–24 LCAP from the 2017–2020 LCAP, the LEA must determine whether or not the action was effective as expected, and this determination must reflect evidence of outcome data or actual implementation to date.

**Principally Directed and Effective:** An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA’s goals for unduplicated students when the LEA explains how:

- It considers the needs, conditions, or circumstances of its unduplicated pupils;
- The action, or aspect(s) of the action (including, for example, its design, content, methods, or location), is based on these considerations; and
- The action is intended to help achieve an expected measurable outcome of the associated goal.

As such, the response provided in this section may rely on a needs assessment of unduplicated students.
Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient. Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increase or improve services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For example, if an LEA determines that low-income students have a significantly lower attendance rate than the attendance rate for all students, it might justify LEA-wide or schoolwide actions to address this area of need in the following way:

After assessing the needs, conditions, and circumstances of our low-income students, we learned that the attendance rate of our low-income students is 7 percent lower than the attendance rate for all students. (Needs, Conditions, Circumstances [Principally Directed])

In order to address this condition of our low-income students, we will develop and implement a new attendance program that is designed to address some of the major causes of absenteeism, including lack of reliable transportation and food, as well as a school climate that does not emphasize the importance of attendance. Goal N, Actions X, Y, and Z provide additional transportation and nutritional resources as well as a districtwide educational campaign on the benefits of high attendance rates. (Contributing Action[s])

These actions are being provided on an LEA-wide basis and we expect/hope that all students with less than a 100 percent attendance rate will benefit. However, because of the significantly lower attendance rate of low-income students, and because the actions meet needs most associated with the chronic stresses and experiences of a socio-economically disadvantaged status, we expect that the attendance rate for our low-income students will increase significantly more than the average attendance rate of all other students. (Measurable Outcomes [Effective In])

COEs and Charter Schools: Describe how actions included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement on an LEA-wide basis are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities as described above. In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

For School Districts Only:

Actions Provided on an LEA-Wide Basis:

**Unduplicated Percentage > 55 percent:** For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of 55 percent or more, describe how these actions are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities as described above.

**Unduplicated Percentage < 55 percent:** For school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent, describe how these actions are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities. Also describe how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet these goals for its unduplicated pupils. Provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions Provided on a Schoolwide Basis:
School Districts must identify in the description those actions being funded and provided on a schoolwide basis, and include the required description supporting the use of the funds on a schoolwide basis.

**For schools with 40 percent or more enrollment of unduplicated pupils:** Describe how these actions are principally directed to and effective in meeting its goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priorities.

**For school districts expending funds on a schoolwide basis at a school with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils:** Describe how these actions are principally directed to and how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet its goals for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students in the state and any local priorities.

**A description of how services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students are being increased or improved by the percentage required.**

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR Section 15496, describe how services provided for unduplicated pupils are increased or improved by at least the percentage calculated as compared to the services provided for all students in the LCAP year. To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis or provided on a limited basis to unduplicated students. A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students. This description must address how these action(s) are expected to result in the required proportional increase or improvement in services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services the LEA provides to all students for the relevant LCAP year.

For any action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. See the instructions for determining the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for information on calculating the Percentage of Improved Services.

**A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.**

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in EC Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:
An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.

Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.

In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA. The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA. The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA. The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA. The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

**Action Tables**

Complete the Data Entry Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. With the exception of the Data Entry Table, the word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2022–23 LCAP, 2022–23 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2021–22 will be the current LCAP Year.

**Data Entry Table**

The Data Entry Table may be included in the LCAP as adopted by the local governing board or governing body, but is not required to be included. In the Data Entry Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year**: Identify the applicable LCAP Year.

- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount of LCFF funding the LEA estimates it will receive for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant Program and the Home to School Transportation Program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8).

  See EC sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF apportionment calculations.

- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants**: Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will receive on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.

- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year**: This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.

- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage**: Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year**: This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover —
Percentage. This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.

- **Goal #:** Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- **Action #:** Enter the action’s number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- **Action Title:** Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s):** Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering “All,” or by entering a specific student group or groups.

- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type “Yes” if the action is included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services; OR, type “No” if the action is not included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services.

If “Yes” is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:

  - **Scope:** The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.

  - **Unduplicated Student Group(s):** Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.

  - **Location:** Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate “All Schools.” If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter “Specific Schools” or “Specific Grade Spans.” Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.

- **Time Span:** Enter “ongoing” if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter “1 Year,” or “2 Years,” or “6 Months.”

- **Total Personnel:** Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.

- **Total Non-Personnel:** This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.
• **LCFF Funds**: Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA’s total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).

  o **Note**: For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.

• **Other State Funds**: Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

• **Local Funds**: Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

• **Federal Funds**: Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.

• **Total Funds**: This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.

• **Planned Percentage of Improved Services**: For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.

  o As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

  For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which the LEA estimates would cost $165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of $165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Service for the action.

### Contributing Actions Table
As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses.

**Annual Update Table**

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

**Contributing Actions Annual Update Table**

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants**: Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**: Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.

- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services**: For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).

  - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been $169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of $169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

**LCFF Carryover Table**

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant**: Provide the total amount of LCFF funding the LEA estimates it will receive for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant Program and the Home to School Transportation Program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8).
• 10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year: This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

Calculations in the Action Tables
To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

Contributing Actions Table

4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)
   - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column

5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services
   - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column

Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)
   - This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table
Pursuant to EC Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants
   - This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)
   - This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)
7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions
   - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)

Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)
   - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4)

5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
   - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column

8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)
   - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column

Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
   - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8)

**LCFF Carryover Table**

10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)
   - This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.

11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)
   - This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)
   - If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

   The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.
• 13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
  
  o This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).